24 August 1988

HENORANDON TOK.	Deputy Director of Central Intelligence
FROM:	Director of Central Intelligence
SUBJECT:	Stansfield Turner
1 Om 10	August I returned a goll from Ctan Turner
1. On 18	August, I returned a call from Stan Turner.
2 No 112	r particularly concerned about a conculting
2. He was	s particularly concerned about a consulting
	a former
their contract	had asked him to participate as a consultant in . According to Stan, the Agency vetoed his
their contract	had asked him to participate as a consultant in
their contract	had asked him to participate as a consultant in . According to Stan, the Agency vetoed his in the project with no reasons given.
their contract participation :	had asked him to participate as a consultant in . According to Stan, the Agency vetoed his in the project with no reasons given. that produced the attached
their contract participation :	had asked him to participate as a consultant in . According to Stan, the Agency vetoed his in the project with no reasons given. that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988.
their contract participation: 3. memorandum date 4. I'm nothe use of specific spe	that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988. ot in a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than
memorandum date 4. I'm no the use of species security vetting	that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988. ot in a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem
memorandum date 4. I'm no the use of species security vetting that the gener	that produced the attached that produced the attached and a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem al contractor as a bit edgy about our reaction to using Turner,
their contract participation 3. memorandum date 4. I'm not the use of special security vetting that the general wards and sounded us	that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988. ot in a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem al contractor as a bit edgy about our reaction to using Turner, out in the manner described in the memorandum.
memorandum date 4. I'm not the use of special security vetting that the gener wand sounded us we apparently	that produced the attached that produced the attached and a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem al contractor as a bit edgy about our reaction to using Turner,
memorandum date 4. I'm not the use of special security vetting that the gener wand sounded us we apparently	that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988. ot in a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem al contractor as a bit edgy about our reaction to using Turner, out in the manner described in the memorandum. passed the word that "we were not interested in
memorandum date 4. I'm not the use of special security vetting that the gener wand sounded us we apparently	that produced the attached ed 19 August 1988. ot in a position to judge how tightly we control cial consultants in our contracts, other than ng. Reading between the lines, it would seem al contractor as a bit edgy about our reaction to using Turner, out in the manner described in the memorandum. passed the word that "we were not interested in

CONFIDENTIAL

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/07/03: CIA-RDP90G01353R001300120005-4

- 5. Something about this does not sit well with me. Stan was not provided a reason and it does not appear was in fact given a complete reason, although this may not be the case. In any event, I think Stan is understandably unhappy with the manner in which, after his assistance had been requested, he was jettisoned at CIA request.
- 6. I'm not interested in special treatment for DCIs. On the other hand, I want to be sure that we have not been personal or petty about this. What do you suggest?

William H. Webster

www

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

